

# Aloe ferox Leaf Gel Phytochemical Content, Antioxidant Capacity, and Possible Health Benefits

Du Toit Loots,\*,† Francois H. van der Westhuizen,‡ and Lisa Botes†

School for Physiology, Nutrition and Consumer's Science and School for Biochemistry, North-West University, Private Bag X6001, Box 594, Potchefstroom 2531, South Africa

This study identified, quantified, and compared the phytochemical contents and antioxidant capacities of *Aloe ferox* lyophilized leaf gel (LGE) and 95% ethanol leaf gel extracts (ELGE) using GC-MS and spectrophotometric methods. Analytically, 95% ethanol is less effective than ethyl acetate/diethyl ether or hexane (in the case of fatty acids) extractions in separating phytochemicals for characterization purposes. However, although fewer compounds are extracted in the ELGE, they are approximately 345 times more concentrated as compared to the LGE, hence justifying ELGE use in biological efficacy studies in vivo. Individual phytochemicals identified included various phenolic acids/polyphenols, phytosterols, fatty acids, indoles, alkanes, pyrimidines, alkaloids, organic acids, aldehydes, dicarboxylic acids, ketones, and alcohols. Due to the presence of the antioxidant polyphenols, indoles, and alkaloids, the *A. ferox* leaf gel shows antioxidant capacity as confirmed by ORAC and FRAP analyses. Both analytical methods used show the non-flavonoid polyphenols to contribute to the majority of the total polyphenol content. Due to its phytochemical composition, *A. ferox* leaf gel may show promise in alleviating symptoms associated with/or prevention of cardiovascular diseases, cancer, neurodegeneration, and diabetes.

KEYWORDS: Aloe ferox leaf gel; phytochemical; polyphenols; antioxidant capacity; gas chromatography—mass spectrometry; spectrophotometry; leaf gel extract; ethanol extract; ORAC; FRAP

## INTRODUCTION

The utilization of plants in various parts of the world is receiving more and more prominence, due to not only their health benefits but also the opportunities they present to ruralbased economies. Mainly due to economic constraints, the populations of developing countries worldwide continue to rely heavily on the use of traditional medicine as their primary source of healthcare. Apart from Aloe being used extensively in the cosmetic industry, it has been described for centuries for its laxative, anti-inflammatory, immunostimulant, antiseptic (1), wound and burn healing (2), antiulcer (3), antitumor (4), and antidiabetic (5) activities. The majority of the scientifically based research on this topic was done on Aloe vera (or Aloe barbadensis) and Aloe arborescens. However, in the rural communities, the type of Aloe that is chosen as a traditional medicine would depend on its immediate availability to the specific community. Hence, various communities in different parts of the world would use the species of Aloe indigenous to their immediate surroundings. In South Africa, for instance, various traditional communities and local industries are using a variety of location-specific Aloe species, for example, Aloe

ferox in the Eastern and Western Cape provinces and Aloe greatheadii var. Davyana in the northern regions of South Africa. These *Aloe* species are used in the treatment of arthritis, skin cancer, burns, eczema, psoriasis, digestive problems, blood pressure problems, and diabetes. These treatments are based on anecdotal evidence or research findings done almost exclusively on A. vera. Different Aloe species would have various phytochemical contents, health benefits, and possible toxicities. Hence, it is of relevance for scientists, industry, and rural communities not only to research the relevant medicinal uses of their indigenous *Aloe* species but also to determine the active components and their individual or combined mechanisms of biological function. The use of 95% ethanol extracts of various Aloe species is extensively described in the literature for determining biological activity in the treatment and prevention of a variety of health conditions (6, 7), in particular, diabetes (8-11). In this study we determined and compared the phytochemical contents and antioxidant capacities of A. ferox lyophilized leaf gel and 95% ethanol leaf gel extracts using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and spectrophotometric methods of analysis. This was done not only to describe A. ferox leaf gel extracts with regard to phytochemical contents and possible health benefits, but to compare various extraction methods for both analytical efficacy and possible biological relevance.

<sup>\*</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed (telephone +27-18-299-2469; fax +27-18-299-2464; e-mail dutoit.loots@nwu.ac.za).

<sup>†</sup> School for Physiology, Nutrition and Consumer's Science.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> School for Biochemistry.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Samples.** Whole, freshly cut, *A. ferox* leaves (100 kg) were kindly supplied by the Aloe Ferox Trust. These leaves were harvested in the month of September from farms in the Albertinia region in the Western Cape of South Africa. The inner leaf gel was removed, homogenized, freeze-dried, and stored at  $-20\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$  until analysis. This was termed the leaf gel extract (LGE) for the purpose of this study. Approximately half of the LGE was used for preparation of a 95% ethanol extract as described previously (11). This was termed the 95% ethanol leaf gel extract (ELGE).

**Materials.** All analytical standards were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), and all of the organic solvents used were of ultrahigh purity purchased from Burdick and Jackson. Folin—Ciocalteu's phenol reagent and other reagent chemicals were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

Ethyl Acetate/Diethyl Ether Extraction. The internal standard, 3-phenylbutyric acid (25 mg/50 mL), was added to 25 mg of finely ground LGE and ELGE, followed by the addition of 1 mL of sodium acetate buffer (0.125 M). β-Glucuronidase (30 μL) was added, and the sample was vortexed and incubated overnight at 37 °C. The sample was extracted with 6 mL of ethyl acetate followed by 3 mL of diethyl ether. The organic phase was collected after each extraction via centrifugation. The organic phase from each extraction was pooled and dried under nitrogen. The dried extract was derivatized with bis-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA; 100 μL), trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS; 20 μL), and pyridine (20 μL) at 70 °C for 30 min. After cooling, 0.1 μL of the extract was injected into the GC-MS via splitless injection.

Fatty Acid Extraction. Heptadecanoic acid (72 mM), as an internal standard, was added to 25 mg of LGE and ELGE followed by 100  $\mu L$  of a 45 mM solution of butylated hydroxytoluene and 2 mL of methanolic HCl (3 N). The samples were then vortexed and incubated for 4 h at 90 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the sample was extracted twice with 2 mL of hexane, dried under a nitrogen stream, and finally resuspended with 100  $\mu L$  of hexane, 1  $\mu L$  of which was injected onto the GC-MS via splitless injection.

GC-MS. An Agilent 6890 GC ported to a 5973 mass selective detector was used for the identification and quantification of individual fatty acids. For the acquisition of an electron ionization mass spectrum, an ion source temperature of 200 °C and electron energy of 70 eV were used. The gas chromatograph was equipped with an SE-30 capillary column (Agilent), a split/splitless injection piece (250 °C), and direct GC-MS coupling (260 °C). Helium (1 mL/min) was used as the carrier gas. The oven temperature program for analyzing the ethyl acetate/diethyl ether extract was an initial oven temperature of 40 °C and was maintained for 2 min, followed by a steady climb to 350 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min. For the fatty acid analysis, an initial oven temperature of 50 °C was maintained for 1.5 min and then allowed to increase to 190 °C at a rate of 30 °C/min. The oven temperature was maintained at 190 °C for 5 min and then allowed to increase to 220 °C at a rate of 8 °C/min. The oven temperature was again maintained for 2 min and finally ramped to 230 °C at a rate of 3 °C/min and maintained for 24 min at this temperature.

**Total Polyphenol Assay.** The total polyphenol content of the extracts were determined according to the Folin–Ciocalteu procedure (12). Briefly, 10 mg of finely ground LGE or ELGE was dissolved in 200  $\mu$ L of H<sub>2</sub>O in a test tube followed by 1 mL of Folin–Ciocalteu's reagent. This was allowed to stand for 8 min at room temperature. Next, 0.8 mL of sodium carbonate (7.5%, w/v) was added, mixed, and allowed to stand for 30 min. Absorption was measured at 765 nm (Shimadzu UV-1601 spectrophotometer). The mean total phenolic content (n=3) was expressed as milligrams of gallic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) equivalents per 100 g of wet and dry mass (mg of GAE/100 g)  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD).

**Total Flavonoid Assay.** The total flavonoid content was measured by using the AlCl<sub>3</sub> colorimetric assay (13) with some modifications. Briefly, 10 mg of LGE or ELGE was dissolved in 1 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O, to which 60  $\mu$ L of 5% (w/v) NaNO<sub>2</sub> was added. After 5 min, 60  $\mu$ L of a 10% (w/v) AlCl<sub>3</sub> was added. In the sixth min, 400  $\mu$ L of 1 M NaOH was added, and the total volume was made up to 2 mL with H<sub>2</sub>O. The

solution was mixed well and the absorbance measured at 510 nm against a reagent blank. Concentrations were determined using a catechin (Sigma-Aldrich) solution standard curve. The mean total flavonoid content (n = 3) was expressed as milligrams of catechin equivalents (CE) per 100 g of wet and dry mass (mg of CE/100 g)  $\pm$  SD.

Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity (ORAC). ORAC analyses of hydrophilic and lipophilic compounds in LGE and ELGE were performed as described previously (14). The analysis of lipophilic compounds was aided by the addition of randomly methylated  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin as a solubility enhancer as described before (15). Briefly, in a volume of 200  $\mu$ L, the reaction contained 56 nM fluorescein (Sigma-Aldrich) as a target for free radical attack by 240 nM 2,2'azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich). A BioTEK fluorescence plate reader (FL-600) was used, and the decay of fluorescence of fluorescein (excitation, 485 nm; emission, 520 nm) was measured every 5 min for 2 h at 37 °C. Costar black opaque (96 well) plates were used in the assays. Trolox (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as standard at a range between 0 and 20 µM with a polynomial (second order) curve fit analysis. Mean values (n = 3) of antioxidant capacities were expressed as micromoles of Trolox equivalents (TE) per gram of wet and dry mass  $\pm$  SD.

**Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP).** FRAP values were determined essentially as described previously (16). Briefly, the reduction of a Fe<sup>3+</sup>-2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium (Sigma-Aldrich) complex in the assay by the antioxidants in the samples was monitored at 593 nm. As a standard, FeSO<sub>4</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich) was used, and the FRAP activities of the samples were expressed as the mean (n = 3) micromoles of Fe<sup>2+</sup> per gram of wet and dry mass  $\pm$  SD.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The compounds identified and their quantities in the A. ferox LGE and ELGE are summarized in Table 1. Of all the compounds identified, the groups of compounds best described for their health benefits are the phenolic acids/polyphenols, sterols, fatty acids, and indoles. Apart from these, various alkanes, pyrimidines, alkaloids, organic acids, aldehydes, dicarboxylic acids, ketones, and alcohols were also identified. Although the extraction methods used in this study were not selected to target alcohols, a few of these were also identified. One would, however, expect a far larger variety of alcohols to occur in Aloe and in far higher concentrations. For better extraction of these, headspace isolation by simultaneous purging should be used as described previously (17). However, by employing this method one would extract far less of the other biologically important health-associated compounds. Therefore, to accomplish the aims of our study, alternative extraction procedures were used as described under Materials and Methods, using ethyl acetate/diethyl ether and hexane.

A general comparison of the phytochemical contents of the LGE and ELGE, calculated per LGE dry mass, shows that, with the exception of a few compounds, far fewer compounds and at lower concentrations are extracted from 95% ethanol extracts than directly from the LGE using ethyl acetate/diethyl ether or hexane. The occurrence of higher concentrations of a few compounds from the ELGE is most probably due to matrix protein conformation changes and precipitation by the ethanol, hence making extraction of these protein-associated compounds easier (18). However, when the concentrations are quantified for the individual compounds occurring in the ELGE per dry mass of ELGE, the concentrations for the compounds extracted are approximately 345 times higher than those for the same compounds occurring in the lyophilized LGE. Similarly, higher concentrations of total polyphenols, total flavonoids, and total non-flavonoids, as well as higher antioxidant capacities using ORAC and FRAP analyses (Table 2), are seen in the ELGE extracts. Additionally, these values are again far less when

Table 1. Concentrations of GC-MS Identified Compounds from Lyophilized Aloe ferox Leaf Gel (LGE) and 95% Ethanol Leaf Gel Extract (ELGE)

	concentration (ppm)				(	concentration (ppm)	
	LGE (per	ELGE (per	ELGE (per		LGE (per	ELGE (per	ELGE (per
	dry mass	dry mass	dry mass		dry mass	dry mass	dry mass
compound	LGE)	LGE	ELGE)	compound	LGE)	LGE	ELGE)
Ph	enolic Acids/Polyp	phenols			Organic Acids		
phenol	15.37	38.87	$1.3 \times 10^{4}$	isovaleric	150.4	151.34	$5.2 \times 10^{4}$
gentisic	1.99			lactic	149.84	204.92	$7.1 \times 10^{4}$
vanillic	60.27	24.57	$8.5 \times 10^{3}$	glycolic	92.40		
homovanillic	19.66	14.36	$5.0 \times 10^{3}$	pyruvic		88.86	$3.1 \times 10^4$
o-hydroxycinnamic	56.21	45.50	4.0 4.04	furoic	59.23		
protocatechuic 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic	169.42 8.54	45.56	$1.6 \times 10^{4}$	3-hydroxypropionic 2-hydroxyvaleric	1.36 24.13	80.52	$2.8 \times 10^{4}$
5-methoxyprotocatechuic	2.94			cyclohexanone-3-carboxylic	1.55	00.32	2.0 × 10
syringic	26.59			3-hydroxyisovaleric	41.53	225.97	$7.8 \times 10^{4}$
sinapic	35.94			3-methyl-1,3-hydroxybutanoic	21.59	220.01	7.0 × 10
p-coumaric	453.38			methylbenzyl acetic		16.52	$5.7 \times 10^{3}$
caffeic	13.84			2-hydroxycaproic	6.78		
isoferulic	53.12			phosphoric		342.11	$1.2 \times 10^{5}$
ferulic	89.76	4.43	$1.5 \times 10^{3}$	methylcrotonic		7.3	$2.5 \times 10^{3}$
4-methoxycinnamic	2.18			2-ketoisovaleric	0.63	58.62	$2.1 \times 10^4$
aloe emodin	87.79			3-methylglutyric	205.40	1005.90	$3.5 \times 10^5$ $4.1 \times 10^4$
4-phenyllactic 4-ethylphenol	11.83 10.15	33.25	$1.2 \times 10^{4}$	succinic 2-methylsuccinic	385.10 63.94	118.77	4.1 × 10
p-toluic	841.63	33.23	1.2 × 10	picolinic	03.94	280.80	$9.7 \times 10^{4}$
hydrocinnamic	37.68			methylmalic	22.14	200.00	5.7 \ 10
p-salicylic	189.54	51.74	$1.8 \times 10^{4}$	malic	47.52		
benzoic	880.36	5506.5	$1.9 \times 10^{6}$	3,4,5-trihydroxypentanoic	20.10	5.79	$2.0 \times 10^{3}$
phenylpyruvic		6.56	$2.3 \times 10^5$	D-ribonic	7.09		
mandelic	9.83	84.37	$2.9 \times 10^{4}$	2-hydroxyglutyric		20.21	$7.0 \times 10^{3}$
phenylpropionic		26.55	$9.2 \times 10^{3}$	3-hydroxy-3-methylglutyric		20.66	$7.2 \times 10^{3}$
<i>m</i> -hydroxymandelic		141.93	$4.9 \times 10^4$	2-ketoglutyric		17.25	$6.0 \times 10^{3}$
phenylpyruvic	440 FG	6.58	$2.3 \times 10^3$	tartaric	10.10	18.82	$6.3 \times 10^{3}$
hydroxyphenylacetic pyrocatechuic	113.56 4.67	45.34	$1.6 \times 10^4$	suberic 3-hydroxypicolinic	12.19 61.82		
hydro- <i>p</i> -coumaric	15.63			isonicotinic	40.68		
6,7-hydroxycoumarin	38.40			hydantoinpropionic	10.00	15.15	$5.2 \times 10^{3}$
-,,,				2-hydroxybutyric		2.40	829.92
	Alkanes			3-hydroxybutyric		71.65	$2.5 \times 10^{4}$
1,3-dihydroxybutane	10.48	10.77	$3.7 \times 10^{3}$				
hexacosane		6.11	$2.1 \times 10^{3}$		Fatty Acids		
	Б			lauric (C12:0)	0.33		
uraail	Pyrimidines			myristic (C14:0)	0.75 1.14	4 74	5.91.32
uracil thymine	697.65 429.33	181.65	$6.3 \times 10^{4}$	pentadecenoic (C15:0) palmitoleic (C16:1)	1.35	1.71 0.19	65.70
uiyiiiiie	423.33	101.03	0.5 × 10	palmitic (C16:0)	45.55	0.19	69.16
	Indoles			stearic (C18:0)	3.56	0.83	287.01
indole-5-acetic acid	11.61			linoleic (C18:2 n-6)	104.06	0.42	145.24
indole-3-acetic acid	2.88			oleic (C18:1)	0.17		
hexahydrobenzoindole		20.59	$7.1 \times 10^{3}$	linolenic (C18:3 n-3)	1.53		
5-indole carboxylic acid		12.09	$4.2 \times 10^{3}$	erucic (C22:1 n-9)	0.90		
	Allerteide			nonadecenoic (C19:0)	0.14		
hypoxanthine	Alkaloids 28.41			arachidic (C20:1) heneicosanoic acid (C21:0)	0.73 0.50		
xanthine	1333.2			behenic (C22:0)	2.89		
Add to the control of	1000.2			tricosanoic (C23:0)	3.08		
	Sterols			lignoceric (C24:0)	9.03		
cholestanol	24.82	13.42	$4.6 \times 10^{3}$	pentacosanoic (C25:0)	2.87		
campestrol	13.73			,			
$\beta$ -sitosterol	1602.7				Dicarboxylic Acids		
stigmasterol	69.34			azelaic	0.03		
	Alaabala			undecanedioic	0.04	6.70	$2.3 \times 10^{3}$
2-butanol	Alcohols 13.97			2-hydroxyadipic		6.72	2.3 × 10 <sup>3</sup>
2-butanoi 1-propanol	161.16	196.66	$6.8 \times 10^{4}$		Ketones		
2,3-butanediol	339.28	100.00	5.0 × 10	4,6-dimethyl-2-heptanone	40.91		
2-methyl-1,3-propanediol	355.58	39.14	$1.4 \times 10^{4}$	acetophenone	8.06		
benzyl alcohol	163.43	305.66	$1.1 \times 10^{5}$	2,4-dimethyl-4-heptanone	129.50		
2,3-pentanediol	8.82			heptanone		177.40	
glycerol	342.75						
octadecanol	3.76						
phenylethanol	87.31						
	Aldehydes						
benzaldehyde	57.46	73.57	$2.5 \times 10^{4}$				

Table 2. Concentrations of Total Polyphenols, Flavonoids, and Non-flavonoids as well as Antioxidant Capacity via Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity (ORAC) and Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) Analyses in Lyophilized *Aloe ferox* Leaf Gel (LGE) and 95% Ethanol Leaf Gel Extracts (ELGE)

compound	LGE (dry mass)	LGE (wet mass)	ELGE (expressed as dry mass ELGE)	ELGE (expressed as dry mass LGE)	ELGE (expressed as wet mass LGE)
total polyphenols (mg of GAE/100 g $\pm$ SD)	$79.2 \pm 4.03$	2.74 ± 0.14	413 ± 9.89	26.8 ± 0.64	$0.94 \pm 0.02$
total flavonoids (mg of CE/100 g $\pm$ SD)	$5.5 \pm 0.38$	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	$33.6 \pm 1.99$	$2.18 \pm 0.13$	$0.08 \pm 0.004$
total non-flavonoids (by calculation)	$73.7 \pm 0.45$	$2.55 \pm 0.23$	$379 \pm 6.78$	$24.6 \pm 1.5$	$0.87 \pm 0.02$
ORAC, hydrophilic (µmol of TE/g)	$53 \pm 1.2$	$1.83 \pm 0.04$	$136 \pm 2.5$	$8.83 \pm 0.16$	$0.31 \pm 0.006$
ORAC, lipophilic (µmol of TE/g)	$ND^a$	ND	ND	ND	ND
ORAC, total (µmol of TE/g)	$53 \pm 1.2$	$1.83 \pm 0.04$	$136 \pm 2.5$	$8.83 \pm 0.16$	$0.31 \pm 0.006$
FRAP (µmol/g)	$4.9 \pm 0.25$	$0.17 \pm 0.08$	$19.0 \pm 0.3$	$1.23 \pm 0.02$	$0.05 \pm 0.001$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Not detected.

quantified per LGE dry mass. This indicates that from an analytical perspective, 95% ethanol is in general less effective than direct ethyl acetate/diethyl ether or hexane extractions (in the case of fatty acids) for the phytochemical characterization of Aloe species. However, the results also indicate the ELGE allows for effective concentration of a number of biologically active ingredients from LGE, confirming its popularity for use for testing biological activity for certain components in vivo and in vitro. Additionally, polyphenols are generally classified into flavonoids and non-flavonoids (19). In Table 1, GC-MS analyses indicate the majority of the polyphenol compounds identified in the A. ferox leaf gel belong to the non-flavonoid group of polyphenols. This was confirmed by the spectrophotometic analysis of polyphenols summarized in Table 2, indicating the non-flavonoid components to contribute to 93% of the total polyphenols in the LGE and 92% in the ELGE.

Over the past 10 years there has been a growing interest in the value of polyphenols among researchers and food manufacturers. This is mainly because of their antioxidant properties, their abundance in our diet, and their role in the prevention of various diseases associated with oxidative stress such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, neurodegeneration (20), and diabetes (21). Polyphenols constitute a large class of molecules containing a number of phenolic hydroxyl groups attached to ring structures allowing for their antioxidant activities. These compounds are multifunctional and can act as reducing agents, hydrogen-donating antioxidants, and singlet oxygen quenchers (19). All of the individual A. ferox leaf gel antioxidant polyphenols identified in **Table 1** may contribute to the prevention of the above-mentioned diseases to a greater or lesser extent. The individual contributions of these to disease prevention would, however, depend on their concentrations, antioxidant capacities, bioavailabilities, and specific mechanisms of action. Although the individual phenolic acids/polyphenols occurring in the highest concentrations were benzoic acid, p-toluic acid, p-coumaric acid, p-salicylic acid, protocatechuic acid, hydroxyphenylacetic acid, ferulic acid, aloe emodin, and vanillic acid, it is well-known that the protective health benefits of polyphenols are mainly through a combination of additive and/or synergistic effects between the individual compounds (22). Consequently, those polyphenol/phenolic compounds identified in lower concentrations may also be of value.

Due to the fact that the majority of the phenolic acids/polyphenols identified in *A. ferox* leaf gel in **Table 1** are antioxidants (19) and these compounds as a group occur in the highest concentrations, one would expect these to contribute to the majority of the antioxidant capacity measured in these extracts (**Table 2**). However, apart from these polyphenols, the indoles (23) and alkaloids identified (24) are also known to possess

antioxidant activities and may consequently also contribute to the ORAC and FRAP values of these extracts. When interpreting data of this nature, one should keep in mind that using the concentrations of these antioxidant compounds alone is insufficient criteria for making predictions of individual contributions to oxidative stress. As previously described, this is due to the fact that the concentrations of individual polyphenol antioxidants are not the only factor influencing antioxidant capacity; the structural arrangements (number and position of hydroxyl groups, double bonds, and aromatic rings) of these compounds also play a role (19). Additionally, their individual contributions to ORAC and FRAP may also differ. Due to the FRAP analysis being an indication of the ferric ion reducing power of a compound or mixture and the ORAC analysis indicating the ability of a compound or mixture to scavenge free radicals, the various individual polyphenol components of the mixture may have stronger free radical scavenging abilities than reducing power, or vice versa, dependent on their chemical structures (25).

Phytosterols are another group of compounds well-known for their health benefits. Of the four phytosterols identified in Table 1,  $\beta$ -sitosterol occurred in by far the highest concentrations in the LGE, contributing to 93% of the total phytosterols identified. The ELGE was once again less effective in extracting these compounds, and only cholestanol was identified. However, the levels normalized to dry mass ELGE were not insignificant. Phytosterols are best described for their total cholesterol and low-density lipid cholesterol (LDL-C) lowering effects, consequently associated with reducing the risk for cardiovascular disease (26). As summarized by Devaraj and Jialal (31), evidence for this has been observed in hypercholesterolemic, diabetic, and healthy volunteers. The mechanism proposed by which phytosterols accomplish this is by lowering cholesterol absorption due to the structural similarities these compounds share with cholesterol (27-29). Apart from lowering cardiovascular risk factors associated with diabetes, phytosterols ( $\beta$ sitosterol in particular) have been shown to positively affect diabetes by directly lowering fasting blood glucose levels by cortisol inhibition (30). Additionally, phytosterols have been shown to reduce biomarkers for oxidative stress and inflammation (31), as well as to reduce cancer development by enabling antitumor responses by increasing immune recognition of cancer, influencing hormonal-dependent growth of endocrine tumors, and altering sterol biosynthesis due to the structural similarities of the phytosterols with these compounds and their substrates (32). Phytosterols have also been shown to directly inhibit tumor growth by slowing cell cycle progression, by induction of apoptosis, and by the inhibition of tumor metastasis (32).

Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) also have important biological functions noted to modulate risks of chronic degenerative and inflammatory diseases, of which the essential PUFAs, linolenic (C18:3 n-3) and linoleic (C18:2 n-6) acids, are best described (33, 34). Both these were present in the A. ferox leaf gel extracts, with linoleic acid being the major fatty acid present. However, despite this, the concentrations of these are still very low in comparison to the other compounds identified with possible health benefits and were not even detectable in the lipophilic ORAC analysis. These fatty acids may probably be too low for the A. ferox leaf gel to contribute to health through its fatty acid composition.

In conclusion, the results of this study show that from an analytical perspective, 95% ethanol is a less efficient solvent for the extraction of the phytochemical components of A. ferox leaf gel for descriptive purposes as compared to ethyl acetate/ diethyl ether or hexane (in the case of fatty acids). Although the 95% ethanol extracts contain a smaller variety of extracted compounds, their concentrations are, however, approximately 345 times higher than those of the lyophilized A. ferox leaf gel when quantified as dry mass ELGE extract. This justifies the popularity of the ELGE for applications testing biological efficacy in vivo and in vitro. For the purpose of determining possible biological application, A. ferox leaf gel was characterized. Various phenolic acids/polyphenols, phytosterols, fatty acids, indoles, alkanes, pyrimidines, alkaloids, organic acids, aldehydes, dicarboxylic acids, ketones, and alcohols were identified and quantified. Due to the presence of the antioxidant polyphenols, indoles, and alkaloids, the A. ferox leaf gel shows antioxidant capacity as confirmed by ORAC and FRAP analyses. Both GC-MS and spectrophotometric analyses show the non-flavonoid polyphenols to contribute to the majority of the total polyphenol content. Due to the occurrence of the polyphenols, phytosterols, and perhaps the indoles present, A. ferox leaf gel may show promise in alleviating or preventing the symptoms associated with cardiovascular diseases, cancer, neurodegeneration, and diabetes. This may be due to the welldocumented lowering effects of these compounds on total cholesterol, LDL-C, and fasting blood glucose. These results support the current use of A. ferox by both industry and traditional healers for the treatment of the above-mentioned diseases. However, further clinical trials regarding these claims are necessary before accurate conclusions regarding these heath benefits can be made.

## **ABBREVIATIONS USED**

LGE, leaf gel extract; ELGE, ethanol leaf gel extract; GC-MS, gas chromatography coupled mass spectrometry; ORAC, oxygen radical absorbance capacity; FRAP, ferric reducing antioxidant power; LDL-C, low-density lipid cholesterol; BST-FA, bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide; TMCS, trimethylchlorosilane; GAE, gallic acid equivalent; CE catechin equivalent; TE, Trolox equivalent.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

Special thanks to the Aloe Ferox Foundation in Albertinia, South Africa, for supplying the sample material for this study.

# LITERATURE CITED

 Okyar, A.; Can, A.; Akev, N.; Baktir, G.; Sutlupinar, N. Effect of *Aloe vera* leaves on blood glucose level in type I and type II diabetic rat models. *Phytother. Res.* 2001, 15, 157–161.

- (2) Chithra, P.; Sajithlal, G. B.; Chandrakasan, G. Influence of aloe vera on the healing of dermal wounds in diabetic rats. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 1998, 59, 195–201.
- (3) Koo, M. W. L. *Aloe vera*: antiulcer and antidiabetic effects. *Phytother. Res.* **1994**, *8*, 461–464.
- (4) Saito, H. Purification of active substances of *Aloe arborescens* Miller and their biological and pharmacological activity. *Phytother. Res.* 1993, 7, S14–S19.
- (5) Bunyapraphatsara, N.; Yongchaiyudha, S.; Rungpitarangsi, V.; Chokechaijaroenporn, O. Antidiabetic activity of *Aloe vera* L. juice. II. Clinical trials in diabetes mellitus patients in combination with glibenclamide. *Phytomedicine* 1996, 3, 245–248.
- (6) Reynolds, T.; Dweck, A. C. *Aloe vera* leaf gel: a review update. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **1999**, *68*, 3–37.
- (7) Choi, S.; Chung, M. H. A review on the relationship between Aloe vera components and their biologic effecs. *Semin. Integra*tive Med. 2003, 1, 53–62.
- (8) al-Shamaony, L.; al-Khazraji, S. M.; Twaij, H. A. Hypoglycaemic effect of *Artemisia herba* alba. II. Effect of a valuable extract on some blood parameters in diabetic animals. *J. Ethnophar-macol.* 1994, 43, 167–171.
- (9) Rajasekaran, S.; Sivagnanam, K.; Subramanian, S. Antioxidant effect of *Aloe vera* gel extract in streptozotocin-induced diabetes in rats. *Pharmacol. Rep.* 2005, 57, 90–96.
- (10) Rajasekaran, S.; Sivagnanam, K.; Subramanian, S. Modulatory effects of *Aloe vera* leaf gel extract on oxidative stress in rats treated with streptozotocin. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 2005, 57, 241–246.
- (11) Rajasekaran, S.; Ravi, K.; Sivagnanam, K.; Subramanian, S. Beneficial effects of aloe vera leaf gel extract on lipid profile status in rats with streptozotocin diabetes. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* 2006, 33, 232–237.
- (12) Singleton, V. L.; Rossi, J. A. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phospomolybdic—phosphotungstic acid reagents. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 1965, 16, 144–158.
- (13) Marinova, D.; Robarova, F.; Atanassova, M. Total phenolics and total flavonoids in bulgarian fruits and vegetables. J. Univ. Chem. Technol. Metal. 2005, 40, 255–260.
- (14) Prior, R. L.; Hoang, H.; Gu, L.; Wu, X.; Bacchiocca, M.; Howard, L.; Hampsch-Woodill, M.; Huang, D.; Ou, B.; Jacob, R. Assays for hydrophilic and lipophilic antioxidant capacity (oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC(FL))) of plasma and other biological and food samples. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2003, 51, 3273–3279.
- (15) Huang, D.; Ou, B.; Hampsch-Woodill, M.; Flanagan, J. A.; Deemer, E. K. Development and validation of oxygen radical absorbance capacity assay for lipophilic antioxidants using randomly methylated β-cyclodextrin as the solubility enhancer. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 1815–1821.
- (16) Benzie, I. F.; Strain, J. J. Ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay: direct measure of total antioxidant activity of biological fluids and modified version for simultaneous measurement of total antioxidant power and ascorbic acid concentration. *Methods* Enzymol. 1999, 299, 15–27.
- (17) Umano, K.; Nakahara, K.; Shoji, A.; Shibamoto, T. Aroma chemicals isolated and identified from leaves of *Aloe arborescens* Mill. Var. Natalensis Berger. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 1999, 47, 3702–3705.
- (18) Boutagy, J.; Harvey, D. J. Determination of cytosine arabinoside in human plasma by gas chromatography with a nitrogensensitive detector and by gas chromatography—mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. 1978, 146, 283—296.
- (19) Rice-Evans, C. Flavonoids and isoflavones: absorption, metabolism, and bioactivity. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **2004**, *36*, 827–828
- (20) Scalbert, A.; Williamson, G. Dietary intake and bioavailability of polyphenols. J. Nutr. 2000, 130, 2073S-2085S.
- (21) Vogler, B. K.; Ernst, E. Aloe vera: a systematic review of its clinical effectiveness. Br. J. Gen. Pract. 1999, 49, 823–828.

- (22) Liu, R. H. Supplement quick fix fails to deliver. *Food Technol. Int.* **2002**, *1*, 71–72.
- (23) Herraiz, T.; Galisteo, J. Endogenous and dietary indoles: a class of antioxidants and radical scavengers in the ABTS assay. Free Radical Res. 2004, 38, 323–331.
- (24) Azam, S.; Hadi, N.; Khan, N. U.; Hadi, S. M. Antioxidant and prooxidant properties of caffeine, theobromine and xanthine. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 2003, 9, BR325—BR330.
- (25) Loots, D. T.; van der Westhuizen, F. H.; Jerling, J. Polyphenol composition and antioxidant activity of Kei-apple (*Dovyalis caffra*) juice. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 1271–1276.
- (26) Patch, C. S.; Tapsell, L. C.; Williams, P. G.; Gordon, M. Plant sterols as dietary adjuvants in the reduction of cardiovascular risk: theory and evidence. *Vasc. Health Risk Manag.* 2006, 2, 157–162.
- (27) Lichtenstein, A. H.; Deckelbaum, R. J. AHA Science Advisory. Stanol/sterol ester-containing foods and blood cholesterol levels. A statement for healthcare professionals from the Nutrition Committee of the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism of the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2001, 103, 1177–1179.
- (28) Normen, L.; Dutta, P.; Lia, A.; Andersson, H. Soy sterol esters and β-sitostanol ester as inhibitors of cholesterol absorption in human small bowel. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2000, 71, 908–913.

- (29) Jones, P. J.; Ntanios, F. Y.; Raeini-Sarjaz, M.; Vanstone, C. A. Cholesterol-lowering efficacy of a sitostanol-containing phytosterol mixture with a prudent diet in hyperlipidemic men. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 1999, 69, 1144–1150.
- (30) McAnuff, M. A.; Harding, W. W.; Omoruyi, F. O.; Jacobs, H.; Morrison, E. Y.; Asemota, H. N. Hypoglycemic effects of steroidal sapogenins isolated from Jamaican bitter yam, *Dioscorea* polygonoides. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2005, 43, 1667–1672.
- (31) Devaraj, S.; Jialal, I. The role of dietary supplementation with plant sterols and stanols in the prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Nutr. Rev.* 2006, 64, 348–354.
- (32) Bradford, P. G.; Awad, A. B. Phytosterols as anticancer compounds. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 2007, 51, 161–170.
- (33) Simopoulos, A. P. Evolutionary aspects of diet, the omega-6/ omega-3 ratio and genetic variation: nutritional implications for chronic diseases. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2006, 60, 502-507.
- (34) Mutch, D. M.; Wahli, W.; Williamson, G. Nutrigenomics and nutrigenetics: the emerging faces of nutrition. FASEB J. 2005, 19, 1602–1616.

Received for review April 17, 2007. Revised manuscript received June 27, 2007. Accepted June 29, 2007.

JF071110T